



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/636,004	08/09/2000	David del Val	MS1-542US	5417
22801	7590 06/20/2005		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			NGUYEN, QUANG N	
SPOKANE,	•	J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2141	
		·	DATE MAILED: 06/20/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/636,004	David del Val	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quang N. Nguyen	2141	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examir	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
. (p)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3. 🔲 .	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗌 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🛛 I	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
-	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: None.
	Claim(s) objected to: None.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35,37-40 and 42-56</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.
8. 🔲 -	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.🛛 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3/4/05 and 5/24/05.
	Other:
	MALIBAI DHARIA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant amended the claims and introduced new limitations "determining if the calculated bandwidth is outside a given range of believability for calculated bandwidth" and "if the calculated bandwidth is determined to be outside the given range of believability, then querying a modem of an entity about a bandwidth setting of the modem" in claim 1 and "selecting a file formatted for a given bandwidth that is equal to or less than the bandwidth calculation and sending the selected file via the dynamic network" in claim 19 that would require further search and consideration..